Danner, Ward

From: cassandra wiseman <topangafairy@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, January 09, 2014 8:43 PM

To: hugh kaufman

Cc: Arnold G. York; Christina Pascucci; melissa; Wilson, Patrick; Armann, Steve; cami; NiColle

Holland; Jennifer DENICOLA; Cindy Vandor; ken miller; Len Simonian; Brigette Leonard; Ingrid Peterson; Katy Lapajne; bohemianturtle; Calvin Alagot; Jeff Ruch; paula dinerstein;

Hope Edelman; joy horowitz; stephanie smith; dave

Subject: Re: Malibu Schools' Contamination Case: Sandra Lyon Prevaracation

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Am grateful that Malibu Times fixed their story, but I have to say am so shocked that they printed a false story and maligned a scientist. AND I'm worried that their headline is the reason the District is placing so much pressure on the teachers at our Middle School to go back into classrooms that have not been tested properly. Am very disappointed in the Malibu Times and the Santa Monica Daily Press.

On Wed, Jan 8, 2014 at 9:47 AM, <<u>hughbkaufman@comcast.net</u>> wrote:

Dear Mr. York.

Thank you for publishing your newspaper's unbylined story about the recent air "testing" for PCBs in some classrooms, at the Malibu High School.

http://smdp.com/consultant-new-malibu-high-results-safe/130842#sthash.TYbSKJxg.dpuf

I respectfully request that you consider updating your story to publish the fact that Dr. Rosenfeld (who is quoted in the headline and in the story) has said that the tests are **invalid.**

Thank you sir, Hugh Kaufman

Dr. Paul Rosenfeld:

"The highest air sample value of 96.7 nanograms/cubic meter is significantly above EPA's residential screening level of 4.3 nanongrams/ cubic meter.

I have no idea if the data collected is valid data. I never saw a quality assurance control plan or work plan. I don't know if the windows and/or doors were open and if the ventilation system were on or off. In addition, I do not know if the windows were closed for 24 hours prior to sampling as they should have been.

There is no possible way that all of the samples collected had the same volume of air of 9555 liters or 9114 liters. They must not have properly calibrated the PUFF cartridge flow rate and if this is the case, **this data is invalid.** "